REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application, as amended, in view of the following remarks and the <u>Rule 132 Declaration</u>. A signed version of the Declaration will be filed shortly.

Claims 20 and 21 have been canceled.

Claims 1, 3 and 13 have been amended merely to correct minor informalities.

New Claims 22-28 have been added as supported by the specification at page 9, ln. 25-26, at page 9, ln. 37-38 and at page 10, ln. 12-13.

No new matter is believed to have been added by entry of this amendment. Entry and favorable reconsideration are respectfully requested.

Upon entry of this amendment Claims 1, 3, 5-13 and 22-28 will now be active in this application.

The present invention as set forth in **Claim 1** relates to a process for producing a foamable crosslinked polymer, comprising:

polymerizing a mixture comprising

- (A) 30-70 parts by weight of methacrylic acid,
 30-60 parts by weight of methacrylonitrile,
 0-30 parts by weight of other monomers having vinyl unsaturation,
- (B) 0.01-4.99 parts by weight of tert-butyl methacrylate,
- (C) 0.01-10 parts by weight of blowing agent,
- (D) 0.01-10 parts by weight of crosslinking agent,
- (E) 0.01 to 2 parts by weight of a polymerization initiator, and
- (F) 0 to 20 parts by weight of a conventional additive,

Reply to Office Action of: March 2, 2011

in bulk to give a polymer in the form of a sheet;

wherein said sheet is optionally subjected to the following treatment:

heat-conditioning and then foaming at temperatures of from 150 to 250°C.

Claim 3 relates to a foamable crosslinked polymer, comprising:

(A) 30-70 parts by weight of methacrylic acid,

30-60 parts by weight of methacrylonitrile,

0-30 parts by weight of other monomers having vinyl unsaturation,

(B) 0.01-4.99 parts by weight of tert-butyl methacrylate,

(C) 0.01-10 parts by weight of blowing agent,

(D) 0.01-10 parts by weight of crosslinking agent,

(E) 0.01 to 2 parts by weight of a polymerization initiator, and

(F) 0 to 20 parts by weight of a conventional additive.

The remaining claims are dependent claims.

In the previous amendment, Applicants had limited the amount of t-bu-methacrylate to 0.01 to 4.99 parts by weight. In reply, the Examiner refers to the disclosure of up to 20 wt% of C1-C4 methacrylate in <u>Geyer</u> and up to 30 wt% of C1-C4 methacrylate in <u>Krieg</u>.

Applicants provide a Rule 132 Declaration showing that the use of the claimed amount of t-BuMA gives superior results compared to higher amounts used.

Examples B1 and B2 according to the present invention were prepared. In addition, Comparative Example A1 was prepared.

Reply to Office Action of: March 2, 2011

The polymers of A1 and B1 were foamed in a convection oven for 1h at 200 $^{\circ}$ C and for additional 2h at 220 $^{\circ}$ C.

The composition of B2 was foamed in two different ways (first and second foaming program) under the conditions given in table 3.

Table 1

Example	MAA	MAN	tBMA	tert-BuOH	AMA
	pbw	pbw	pbw	pbw	pbw
A 1	44.0	50.0	10.0	2.0	0.170
B1	50.0	50.0	1.0	7.5	0.170
B2	49.0	50.0	2.0	7.0	0.100

Examples B1 and B2 (first foaming program) show increased mechanical properties (compressive strength or heat resistance) having amounts of tert-butyl methacrylate in the range of Claim 1 of the present invention (0.01 to 4.99 parts by weight) compared to Comparative Example A1 with a very high amount of tert-butyl methacrylate (10 % by wt). All examples are in such a manner formulated and foamed that the materials show comparative densities.

Table 2: Mechanical properties

Example	Density [kg/m³]	Compressive strength [MPa]	Heat resistance [°C]	Creep [%] (compression at 0,2N/mm², 125°C, 2h)
A1	67,75	1,807	212 / 220 / 214 / 215 (1 + 2 twice foamed 3 + 4 deformed)	0,05 (65,3 kg/m³)
B1	69,05	2,130	224 / 230 / 229 / 226 all samples twice foamed	-0,15 (65,9 kg/m³)

Table 3

		B2
Foaming temperatures	1h 200° 2h 220°	1h 200° 2h 229°
Density [kg/m³]	64,84	49,16
Compressive strength [MPa] at 180°C	0,82	0,53

Reply to Office Action of: March 2, 2011

Geyer does not disclose the use of t-butyl (meth)acrylate. Krieg does not disclose the use of t-butyl (meth)acrylate. Tada discloses the use of large amounts of 5 to 50% the weight of t-butyl (meth)acrylate.

Geyer discloses polymethacrylimide foam materials which do not comprise tert-butyl methacrylate which however is an essential component in the polymer of the present application. Furthermore, Geyer wanted to the synthesize foams with low density and improved thermo-mechanical properties. A method for the improvement of size and shape of the pores is not disclosed in Geyer.

<u>Krieg</u> discloses a polymethacrylimide foam but fails to disclose the use of tert-butyl methacrylate which however is an essential component in the polymer of the present application.

<u>Tada</u> discloses materials which comprise tert-butyl methacrylate (t-BuMA) in amounts from 5 to 50 wt% of the total components. The method of <u>Tada</u> is only applicable for the production of foams with large and unsteady pores.

The present application uses a much smaller proportion of t-BuMA. One object of the present application was the development of fine-pore (small pore size) PMI foams. This is achieved without the use of insoluble nucleating agents like magnesium oxide (corresponding to <u>Geyer</u>). See new Claims 20 and 21 which exclude the use of insoluble nucleating agents explicitly.

Another object were good thermo-mechanical properties (such as heat resistance), as compared to conventional polymethacrylimide foams. This is achieved by the present invention and not suggested by the cited references, alone or in combination.

The examples according to the present invention in view of comparative examples 7 and 8 show that superior mechanical properties in combination with small pore sizes were obtained when the amount of TBMA is within the claimed range.

The examples also show that an increasing amount of t-BuMA and a decreasing amount of t-BuOH results in foams with smaller pores. Amounts of more than 5.0 wt% (as in Tada) give foams with very small and obviously mean mechanical properties (such as a high brittleness).

Col. 4 of Geyer which discloses generally the use of esters of methacrylic acid of C1-4 alcohols up to 20 wt%. However, the specific use of t-bu-methacrylate is not mentioned or exemplified. The 7th Example from the top of table 1 of <u>Tada</u> uses 10 parts of TBMA. It would NOT have been obvious to use 4.99 parts of TBMA in Geyer. Applicants refer to the above-mentioned disclosure at page 9 which mentions superior thermo-mechanical properties. Table 4 also provides mechanical properties.

Further, in Claims 14 and 15, there is no TBA in component (B).

Tada et al, Stein, Wu, Zacharopoulus, Nieuwendijk and Baumann do not cure the defects of Geyer et al or Krieg et al.

Therefore, the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Geyer et al or Krieg et al in view of Tada et al, Stein, Wu, Zacharopoulus, Nieuwendijk and Baumann are believed to be unsustainable as the present invention is neither anticipated nor obvious and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Reply to Office Action of: March 2, 2011

This application presents allowable subject matter, and the Examiner is kindly requested to pass it to issue. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the claims or otherwise wish to discuss this case, he is kindly invited to contact Applicants' below-signed representative, who would be happy to provide any assistance deemed necessary in speeding this application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

KAG

(OSMMN 08/07)

Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph.D. Registration No.: 47,297

11